

This powerpoint derived website requires Internet Explorer v6 or later.

Welcome, Willkommen, Välkommen, Welkom, مرحبا بكم,
Bienvenue, Bienvenido, Benvenuti, Tervetuloa, Witamy,
ようこそ Добро пожаловать, Hoş geldiniz, Привітання, 欢迎

summary.benversus.com



you are here

click to
open link

About

Website

Formal website of all the cases

www.benversus.com

Easy Reading Summary of the cases

www.summary.benversus.com

- Welcome to **summary.benversus.com**
- This powerpoint introduces several agencies I have decided to take on who I believe operate unfairly.
- The main website is at www.benversus.com
- This document is intended as an easy reading introduction to the cases.
- I will be challenging the various agencies this year and next in the European Court and local courts.



















Salus populi suprema lex esto

The welfare of the people is to be the highest law

20th November 2008 Ben Collins
Updated May 4th 2009

DvG Cases and Contents

| Ben Collins Versus Various David V Goliath Active Legal C | | | | | |
|---|---|---|------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Ref | # | Law | Year | Versus | 1st May 01 Action |
| DvG 1 |  |  | 2003 | Dept Innov & Uni's | Malpractice |
| DvG 2 |  |  | 2008 | QLTR Edinburgh | Malpractice |
| DvG A |  |  | 2006 | BC vs SV | Harassment |
| DvG B |  |  | 2006 | BC vs KF | Theft |
| DvG C |  |  | 2006 | BC vs AK | Malpractice |
| DvG D |  |  | 2006 | BC vs VV | Malpractice |
| DvG E |  |  | 2007 | BC vs TF | Extortion |
| DvG F |  |  | 2006 | BC vs KF&J | Extortion |


Ref Pg Summary Fighting for Life

| | |
|-------|---|
| CA 1 | Cover : Ben Collins Social Justice Legal Challenges |
| CA 2 | DvG Cases and Contents |
| CA 3 | Introduction to "David versus Goliath" |
| CA 4 | BC vs SBS DTI (DIUS) : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 5 | BC vs SBS DTI (DIUS) : Case Summary |
| CA 6 | BC vs QLTR : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 7 | Complaint Against QLTR Edinburgh For Malpractice and Writ |
| CA 8 | Ben Collins Vs Skatteverket Göteborg : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 9 | Case Basics A : Collins Versus Skatterverket Göteborg |
| CA 10 | Ben Collins Vs Kronofogdmyndigheten : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 11 | Case Basics B : Ben Collins Versus Kronofogdemyndigheten |
| CA 12 | Ben Collins Versus Alfakassan (AK) : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 13 | Case Basics C : Ben Collins Versus Alfakassan (AK) |
| CA 14 | Ben Collins Versus Vägverket : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 15 | Case Basics D : Ben Collins Versus Vägverket (VV) |
| CA 16 | Ben Collins Versus TF : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 17 | Case Basics E : Ben Collins Versus Trafiksföreningen (TF) |
| CA 18 | Collins Versus KF & Intrum Justitia : Cartoon Explanation |
| CA 19 | Case Basics F : Collins Versus KF & Intrum Justitia (KF/IJ) |
| CA 20 | Diagram of Carbon-Down Project |
| CA 21 | Not Much Fun living like this for Long Periods |
| CA 22 | End |

Motivation

Naturally a mix of frustration, boredom, bitterness, anger and financial recuperation are the motives behind this collective action. There is a point where trampling or trespass into peoples rights and private areas really begins to rankle and not sit easy with day to day existence, until that trampling has been corrected. Despite the overwhelming wave of negativity revisiting all of this generates, a response must be made in order to eventually move on.

Introduction to "David versus Goliath"



Whilst pursuing my Carbon Down program on extreme low income for five years, some very strange situations have occurred.

Fighting and dealing with injustices is energy sapping and tedious, unfortunately I have been pretty much triggered into these actions in respect of TFFs court action against me and the QLTR non payment of my carefully saved funds.

Once you start on those you might as well take all the others on as well. Cartoons are used herein as an easy reading summary of the cases.

Let's see what happens in 2009.

This document details several agencies that I would like to legally challenge, in either the UK, Swedish or the European court. In my opinion these agencies have abused their privileges and position of power and failed in keeping to standards that protect individuals from clumsy or poor administration. Their handling of these situations have been excessive and generated spiralling or cyclical additional problems way beyond the original issue. This creates a cycle of paperwork and time wastage all round.

Whining or genuine social injustice?

I would like courts decide each case on merit, whether the case list does or does not constitute impedance of natural social justice and whether these mechanisms that have acted against me are either in control or are excessive.

In singular cases the damage might seem modest, but collectively this has created a load of unnecessary misery. Considering the wider social implications, this could mean much misery is being unnecessarily distributed without justification.

There are two goals here;

To be financially compensated for the wastage of time, misery and damages these unnecessary procedures have caused, and secondly to moderate these unnecessary procedures for other folks. Change of procedures can be achieved either by;

- Punitive damages to me possible meaning payments due may be widespread.
- Publicising this case.
- An EU court directive to moderate processes.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Citizenship_of_the_European_Union

BC vs SBS DTI : Cartoon Explanation

Erm, it *can* be assembled, I built and showed you the model and photographed assembly stage by stage in the grant application.

Erm, your other expert, the UK patent office said it was new, so someone is telling porkies. The PCT authority in the Hague also agreed it was new.

So your expert has not read my technical info or website?

Erm, in the application the market was clearly defined as electricity generation, static and remote on electric vehicles.

Chicken or egg!!! In 2010 there will be electric vehicles and the CLP range extender engine will mean their battery weight, cost and storage can be smaller.

I dont want to be paid that amount, just to have mine and Skeldings time input valued at that current market rate.

Erm, we showed you our accounts and invoices for UK and Europe for 1999 and 2000 inputs, valued and paid at that rate, so it is MR.

What about valuing the two previous years contributed to the project using only private funds to reach this stage; international patent approval, full scale models, detailed tech info, industry consultation and branding.

We wont back your carbon reducing project for several important reasons, mainly it is not technically feasible because it can't be assembled.

Well our expert says it can't be assembled, he also says it is an old concept

That is ok we still trust our expert who didnt review your tech info or website and reject your project based on his single opinion.

Well he also says it has no clearly defined market.

There are no electric vehicles at present!

Well anyway, you have overvalued your time, we wont pay that amount.

That isnt our valuation for the market rate.

We decide the market rate!

We dont count that or apportion any value to it, anyway, you are not supposed to have started the project, though you are supposed to have finished the project and already filed patent applications.

Well you still cant have the grant.

Why not?

For the reasons we just discussed!

???

BC vs SBS DTI (DIUS*) : Case Summary

***Now called the Dept of Innovation, Universities and Skills**




The immediate best application function of the CLP engine proposed in 2002-3 has now come of age in the crucial predicted role of the recharge engine within an electric vehicle. Battery technology has improved to make the EV the most likely form of future transport. Internal combustion engines will not be used as direct drives but high power density regenerator engines to extend the range of EVs and also to keep battery weight, cost and size small.

Failure to provide the SMART award to this project has irreparably damaged it commercially given that the PCT (world) patent was granted but unable to be funded. The way the SMART application was adjudged in 2003 was prejudicial and unfair amounting to negligence and or malpractice by SBS DTI in consideration of this carbon reducing technology.



1 stroke

The main reasons are as follows;

- SBS DTI failed to value time given to project as a legitimate contribution previously.
- SBS DTI failed to value time proposed for the duration of the SMART period of the project.
- SBS DTI declined to get a third appraisal after the negative appraisal by "Expert 2".
- Expert 2 failed to visit the website on the engine for his appraisal, SBS DTI stated they and their consultants were not obliged to consider websites, what century is it?
- Expert 2 failed to acknowledge a moving full scale model of all parts that had already been built and demonstrated in person at SBS DTI by BC and RS, thereby disproving the experts assumption that the engine could not be assembled.
- Expert 2 based his negative appraisal largely on this perceived "unable to assemble assumption"
- Expert 2 failed to appreciate that not all engines are bound for direct driving car engines, in fact the CLP was and is still seen to be ideal for a flygenset in electric trucks, vans and light trains.
- Expert 2 ignored frame by frame assembly photograph sequence showing engine assembly, in very close relation to contemporary engine assembly.
- Expert 2 claimed the idea was old but produced no reference of prior art and this contradicted three independent patent searches including the final triplicated one commissioned by SBS DTI and the patent application approval by the world patent authority.
- Expert 2 was discredited in communications yet SBS DTI refused acknowledge this discredit.
- SBS DTI failed to assist a potential carbon reducing technology when obligated by the the Kyoto agreement 2000 to give fair and proper consideration to such projects.



BC vs QLTR : Cartoon Explanation



Complaint Against QLTR Edinburgh For Malpractice and Writ for Damages

A) Unpublished Payment Limit

The net published limit for BV in the UK is £50,000 on the UK govt website, yet the limit in Scotland (net unpublished) is £3,000, why? I am still waiting for a reasoned answer other than that "is our (net unpublished) policy in Scotland".

B) Unfair Prejudicial Payment Limit

The net published UK government assessment criteria is "a fair payout" yet Edinburgh QLTR automatically administrates a £3,000 limit which is prejudicial protocol and means in some cases a fair payout is not made, certainly not in this case.

C) Fair Payout Not Offered

QLTR Edinburgh were given plenty of time and information from which to make a fair payout in the case of involuntarily dissolved company Albatross Wulf Products Limited 154825, but have failed to do so, constituting malpractice and contradicting UK BV guidelines. *This is the main complaint.*

D) Excessive BV Payout Delays, Complications and Inefficiencies

A comprehensive BV application was made in March, no payment has been made as yet in Nov 2008.

UK Government BV Website February 2008 <http://www.bonavacantia.gov.uk/default.asp?pageid=1312>

35. We need the specific consent from the Treasury before we can make a grant of more than £50,000. In these cases, the Treasury may also require a proportion of the fund to be kept by the Crown as the "Crown's Share", although in certain circumstances the Treasury may agree to waive this. *The only ceiling discussed.*

I complained five times before starting legal action.

This is asking for the return of money saved up and worked such long hours for, so that I can take my personal life and my eco-technology to the next level. From my perspective this system rewards skullduggery and dishonesty, whilst punishing honesty, tax paying and saving.

Im frustrated by a process that all time pretends it is doing me a favour by "letting me have £3,000". I now live abroad and simply is not possible to restart a Scottish company when you live in Sweden and your sister lives in France (other shareholder). I have no office available to me in Scotland and my accounts were corrupted illegally in 1999 by a person wanting me to trade offshore.

The reason I left my lovely life and house in 1997 Galashiels Scotland was to pay off the debt to **the Scottish Office, I also paid off the grant I received for moving too Scotland, because I had since moved away from Scotland in order to pay off the loan received from Scottish Office (!)**. I do not complain however about having to pay money back and did so as an alternative to winding up the company. Yet now it is **the Scottish Office** that is refusing to pay out on the extra money earned and saved fair and square and reserved in the dissolved company. DUH!

That is unfair, and it does not take Solomon to appreciate that.

Ben Collins Vs Skatteverket Göteborg : Cartoon Explanation

Erm, I got your bill but I haven't earned anything yet, my income is low and sporadic, like it was the last six years.



We insist on being paid every month, it is how we do things here.

2 months later.....

Erm, I haven't earned anything yet, it seems odd that I have to pay income tax on that, or?



It has been two months now!!! You owe us €3400 from our estimate. Pay up or we take everything today!

6 months later.....

Erm, it's June, my Mum may be dying and you've made me unemployed, please can I have that money you took from me for what wasn't owed anyway so I can fly home.



Ask us again in December.

11 months later.....

But erm.....




Here is your money you overpaid...????..blimey don't look so sad, show a bit of gratitude!




Case Basics A : Collins Versus Skatterverket Göteborg

- SV cannot assume people with low and or variable incomes will earn the same every month, then authorise removal on that basis after only six weeks.
- SV should only collect tax by force on what they are *certain has been* earned, not on the basis of what they *estimate might be* earned.
- **SV extracted all my finances via KF to satisfy a claim from 37 days earlier.**
- **SV's claim for that period was later reduced to zero and all money refunded.**
- Authorising forced sequestration of funds should be a last, not first resort.
- SV infringed my basic citizens rights by; withdrawing personal survival funds, not providing adequate time to to pay sums claimed, not offering payments terms balanced versus income and prospective income, not considering earnings levels current and historical, not considering previous tax history and full co-operation, not providing adequate time to prepare a tax calculation counter claim and not considering the size of the moderate sums demanded balanced versus history and risk to SV of non payment.
- It cannot be worthwhile to turn upside down the life of a citizen to recover the sum of €3400 that has not been paid for 37 days, whether owed or not.
- The sum was never owed or due and invented by SV due to poor process.
- SV were far outside the balance of fair but robust tax collection.




Ben Collins Vs Kronofogdmyndigheten : Cartoon Explanation



Case Basics B : Ben Collins Versus Kronofogdemyndigheten

- KF took all my property, cash and harassed me for a sum not owed after 8 weeks.
- KF removed my property too quickly, for a tax estimation a few days previously.
- KF failed to provide fair repayment terms or timing conditions.
- KF should wait a fair period for a citizen to prepare tax counter calculations.
- KF acted on an tax estimation not on tax earned or proven owed.
- KF publicly labelled me a debtor for a non existent debt.
- KF extorted fees and interest for a sum not owed.
- **My financial and personal life was destabilised by this KF action.**
- **When KF collect on bills that are not owed, KF are stealing funds.**
- KF must take this issue with their customer who is inventing bills, not me.
- "Just doing our job" is not a satisfactory response if this job results in illegal and or amoral collection from low income individuals resulting in life destabilisation.
- When you humiliate someone and take away all their worldly goods, you should be *very sure they actually owe something* to your customer and not act blindly.
- KF has acted amorally with undue haste and not respected EU citizen rights.
- KF practices need to improve until adequate response moralities are standard.
- KF harassment for invented SV bills continued throughout 2005 and 2006.
- Two years of misery for non existent, unproven and *estimated* tax debt.

Diagram KF4 : Near Constant KF Action Against BC, Without Reason



Ben Collins Versus Alfakassan (AK) : Cartoon Explanation

Erm, This person is claiming AK

OK



OK

Erm, we should send him a form.



Erm, I have another question for him

OK



4 months later.....

OK


Erm, I thought of another question! Ask him where he used to work.



Case Basics C : Ben Collins Versus Alfakassan (AK)

- I was forced into unemployment after KF took all my money for sums not owed.
- AK processed my claim too slowly to be acceptable, 4.5 months.
- **Basic human rights demand a form of social payment when unemployed, targets should mean a 4.5 month turnaround is not acceptable.**
- This delay created a whole load of personal misery for myself and humiliation of borrowing money from my circle of people, straining personal relationships.
- The issue herein is: What is an acceptable response time for AK?
- Slow response prevented me accessing alternative social support (i.e. in UK) .

Diagram AK1 : AK Sloth of Action and Process Delays




Olofström den 18 juli 2006

Vi har följande uppgifter. Ditt företag är inregistrerat i England. Skatt är betald i Sverige sedan 5 år och sociala avgifter sedan april 2005. Dock har vi inte fått svar på var du arbetat, alltså i vilket land. Vi har inte heller fått kopia på aktuell skattsedel. Vi behöver också intyg från Skattemyndigheterna på att de sociala avgifterna är inbetalda i Sverige sedan april 2005.

Some issues relating to the compensation application.....

- The questions "var du arbetat" and "i vilket land" were answered in my first form filling.
- Why were basic questions still being asked 16 weeks after my unemployment declaration?
- How is anybody supposed to survive that long unpaid?
- Don't AK have response timing standards?
- Is this normal to have the agency responsible for survival income without any local human representation and based 1000km away?

Ben Collins Versus Vägverket : Cartoon Explanation



Why dont we build smooth speed bumps to slow down traffic but not damage carefully driven vehicles?
It will make it safer around schools!

Excellent proposal!

Thats not what I askd for! It is not smooth, its just a mess!

Planners

Vägverket

Dont you know what building standards are?, They dont make stuff more expensive, it becomes cheaper and will mean less repairs.

Ahh so what, you got what you wanted!

Get lost! we're gonna throw anything unstandardised down, all different types, it's not our problem.

A few years later "safer"




Case Basics D : Ben Collins Versus Vägverket (VV)

- **Poor road building by Vägverket through poorly constructed speed bumps have prematurely damaged and scrapped my vehicle.**
- These traffic calming measures have created a market in Europe for SUV's which are dangerous to pedestrian and lightweight passenger cars - exactly the opposite result to the target of creating safer roads by traffic calming.
- Vägverket must modify practices until they build roads suitable for low to the ground and lightweight (environmentally and pedestrian friendly) transport
- Vägverket should use alternative traffic calming or improve speed bump quality.
- Why is every speed bump different and built to varying and low standards?
- Why have road features that also damage cars when carefully driven?




New for 2008 BMW X6 versus a 1996 VW Golf. Progress? Safe? Low CO₂? No, no and no. Speed bump defeating? Yes. *Rolls over kerbs making pavements part of the road?* Yes.

Speed Bump References



| Ref | Where | Position | Impact Damage at Low Speed | Noisy? | Ground Contact with Buses? | Occ. Ground Contact with Car | Repaired Recently? | Still Bad? |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| SB (A) | Djurgårdgatan | Sunken approach, severe impact angle. | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes 2007 | Yes |
| SB (B) | Kjellmansgatan | Ok when driven at a modest speed. | Mod | No | Yes | No | No | NO |
| SB (C) | Repslagaragatan | Sunken, severe concrete pyramid. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| SB (D) | Fjällg/Repslagarag | Probably ok, but very worn. | Mod | Yes | Yes | No | No | Mod |
| SB (E) | Fjällgatan/Fjällskolan | Very high ramp and exit problems. R* | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes 2006 | Yes |
| SB (F) | Fjällgatan/Paradiseg | Very noisy for residents, was bad. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes 2007 | Mod |
| SB (G) | Jungmansgatan | High ramp and impact problems. R* | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes 2007 | Yes |
| *Mod = moderate | | | *Rhomboid. | | | | | |

Ben Collins Versus TrafiksForsäkringFöreningen (TFF) : Cartoon Explanation



Case Basics E : Ben Collins Versus Trafiksföreningen (TF)

- **TF bills are a cynical collection and extortion exercise of the unwary.**
- The uninsured vehicle issue is easily avoidable with better administration practices by TF and VV.
- TF are extorting eight times the previous on road insurance for an unusable car.
- TF should not be able to charge for an unused, off road and mechanically disabled car.
- TF should be obliged to prove that the owner is using their vehicle uninsured or that it is possible to be stolen before charging fees.
- The car is offroad due to sequestration by KF in 2006 for tax, later rescinded.

My Previous Years Insurance

Car theoretically driving daily on the roads presenting considerable risk, which can also be stolen at any time, annual insurance **SKr4622.** (although wasn't driven due to damage created by a third party.)

Insurance quoted by IF for off road vehicle

544

4,622

33,446

Trafiksförsäkring Föreningen
Immobilised car, privately parked, cannot move, cannot be stolen
annual insurance **SKr 33,446.**

I have;

- Driven 21 years and never had an accident.
- Never had a speeding ticket or any driving offence.
- Claimed only once on insurance in twenty years (drug addict slashed roof stereo theft Köln 1999) cost €3,000.
- Always pay insurance for cars I drive or that are drivable.


- 1) Question not asked..Your vehicle is now uninsured, Is your vehicle to be on or off road?
- 2) Why do I need a weird number to avställ when I have supplied the registration plate.
- 3) Is this process deliberately difficult to exploit the unknowing?
- 4) Is money being cynically extorted using knowledge of the law, or fairly charged?

Criminalised in a year, or is money being extorted by "legal" yet unfair means?
I will serve a custodial sentence in lieu of payment. I will never pay this amount.
What a waste of the courts time.

Collins Versus Kronofogdmyndigheten & Intrum Justitia : Cartoon Explanation

Your little bill is 4 weeks late
thats 50kr extra please

Yeah ok, fair enough,
I'm struggling a bit this
month, sorry.



IJ luvs
KF

I know its quick isnt it!!
Easiest 160 kr earned, just
mail an automated letter.

Eh? its only been a month
and a half, how come a
debt collection agency can
get involved so soon?




hej thats two weeks more
delay, now you owe us
another 640kr!

Eerrrr, Ive paid the bill now,
what's all this other stuff?

"KERCHING!" and another 600kr!

Haha!! We are state run, of
course it is legal! DUH! We both
make up and apply the rules!

Eerrrr? Is that legal? Fining of
the Fining? Do you realise that
is now at a gross interest rate
over 2,000%?




Kronofogs

Hej, this is Sweden, we do
what we like. Now pay up or
go to prison, 2000% now!

The maximum legal interest rate
in Japan is 30%, you do realise
what you charging is against the
EU constitution dont you?

Case Basics F : Collins Versus KF & Intrum Justitia (KF/IJ)

- Extortion by illegal charges have been applied by KF and IJ for original bills that were paid within three months of the due date, which though not ideal, is fast enough in a fair and civilised society.
- **The charges are identified as illegal and extortionate as they represent more than 1000% per annum which is unacceptable in a fair EU society.**
- KF and IJ have invented spiralling charges to pay for their non-jobs and fees.
- KF double, triple or quadruple every bill within two months of the due date.
- Once this tripling mechanism is applied to several bills, the person falls behind.
- KF and IJ create a cyclical poverty trap and unnecessary misery by acting with unnatural haste using their superior legal position to exploit the poor.




Gross interest calculated against the original bill (red dotted line). Just because IJ and KF create their own cost issues doesn't mean they are legitimised if that debtor has not had reasonable time to pay the bill when these charges were applied.

A responsible and fair society requires responsible and fair debt collection, with adequate time given to the debtor without wild and accelerating fees.



Diagram of Carbon-Down Project and Interrelated Resolutions to Eliminate Fossil Fuel Burning : Better For Economies, People and Earth



This is what I should be working on; 42 eco technology paper concepts. Publication and consultation with industry will see individual ideas selected or rejected on merit. Each paper concept focuses on improving performance and reducing costs. This project has been self funded and ongoing for eighteen years, with five years unpaid work in the last eight, with the other three years working to pay bills in between. These projects have been delayed by years due to the combined incompetences at these agencies herein.

Not Much Fun living like this for Long Periods

I have forwarded these cases to what I think is the appropriate European Justice Department.

While this is a massive waste of time for my part, I am sick of being harassed for reasons caused by other peoples failings and decided to fight back rather than weep into my beer mug. Challenging this in court and the other problems so that people on fringe incomes like myself are not bullied by such agencies who, from my perspective at least, seem drunk with power and wholly irresponsible. The most surprising aspect of this experience is it has not been the result of administrative error, rather *fundamental systemic problems*. When I have made a mistake or a delay, a ton of bricks drops, when the agencies make a mistake or delay, nothing happens. There is a lack of balance. I also suspect some of this money "owed" is no more than profiteering and exploitation of people at the fringe of society on low income, generating paper mountains and clerical non-jobs to administrate them.

Not everyone is legally minded enough or have enough time or energy to expend challenging state mechanisms, hence we can be pretty sure these instances explained herein are not isolated to myself or extreme by way of example.

Social freedoms can be earned with a gun or courtcase, depending on the scenario, these cases put faith into the various justice systems, so let us see if they function.

In the end, staring at my belly button bemoaning my plight does not resolve anything, something has to be done, hence this document....dum spiro spero, akta non verba!



- Carbon-Down project, how long will it stall?
- With BV AWP Ltd funds it can be launched (case 2).
- 5 years unpaid Eco-tech development is ready to rock.
- 42 Stalled Technologies to eliminate carbon burning.
- Using positive philosophy perhaps the injustices herein can produce compensatory funds with which to launch the Carbon Down project.

Akta non Verba

End

